International journal of applied management and economics
Vol : 02 , N° 12 , February 2025
ISSN : 2509-0720

Optimizing the Supply Chain: The Impact of Digital Technologies on Efficiency and Sustainability.

– **AUTHOR 1 :** BENTATOU Nouhaila,
– **AUTHOR 2 :** AIT LEMQEDDEM Hamid,

(1) : PhD Student, Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco.
(2) : Professor, Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco.

Conflict of interest: The author reports no conflict of interest.

To quote this article: BENTATOU.N & AIT LEMQEDDEM.H (2025) « Optimizing the Supply Chain: The Impact of Digital Technologies on Efficiency and Sustainability», IJAME : Volume 02, N° 12 | Pp: 100 – 121.

Submission date : January 2025
Publication date : February 2025

DOI : 10.5281/zenodo.14762214

Abstract

This article aims to explore digital transformation as a managerial innovation and its role in optimizing the supply chain. It seeks to understand how innovative technologies can enhance operational efficiency while reducing the environmental impact of supply chains. In this context, it is evident that these technologies have profoundly transformed consumer behaviors, business operations, and interactions among various economic actors. A digitalized supply chain represents an intelligent and efficient system focused on value creation, aiming to generate new revenue streams and increase the commercial value of organizations. It relies on innovative approaches, integrating advanced technological and analytical methods. Unlike the distinction between physical and digital goods, the digital supply chain primarily focuses on managing its processes by incorporating various innovative technologies. Finally, digital technologies, such as platforms, systems, and algorithms, influence how we collaborate, exchange, integrate, and control all logistics operations.

Keywords: digitalization, supply chain, innovative technologies, operational efficiency, environmental impact.

1 Introduction

The primary objective of all companies is to establish a flexible and modern supply chain (SC). Supply chain management has become a critical strategic project, evolving from its initial role as a mere cost center. The fourth industrial revolution, or Logistics 4.0, encourages the creation of a highly connected ecosystem. Globally, the rapid growth of technological innovations and digitalization has profoundly impacted all sectors, including logistics (DHIBA & RHAZZI, 2022). Digitalization has thus led to the transformation of traditional supply chains into digitalized supply chains. Facing this evolution, companies are adapting their strategies to enhance transparency, particularly in the management of their supply chains (SCM).

It is important to note that numerous academic and practitioner studies recognize that integrating emerging digital technologies brings significant changes to supply chain management. Digital transformation represents a new strategic opportunity. Indeed, digitalization can serve as a genuine competitive advantage for supply chains, contributing to enhanced performance. However, it is crucial for these chains to adopt innovative technological solutions to seize new opportunities in an increasingly technology-driven market. Digital innovations have radically altered how individuals communicate and interact with their environment. Innovation is not limited to the creation of new products but also encompasses the transformation of business models (DHIBA & RHAZZI, 2022). This concept, known as managerial innovation, is defined as a type of innovation that affects all stakeholders and modifies organizational functions. Thus, logistics activities have not been spared from these evolutions. Over time, this function within companies has undergone profound transformations driven by the constant pursuit of productivity, technological advancements, and changes in organization and management methods. Innovation in the supply chain represents a complex endeavor, offering an effective tool to integrate advancements in technology and processes to discover new methods of managing the supply chain (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018). Indeed, innovative technologies can be described in various ways. Innovative strategies within the supply chain aim to create and implement collaborative tools and methods among actors within the same supply chain. These initiatives, which may not yet have been adopted by the company or its subsidiaries, aim to address various issues related to costs, quality, and timelines. These innovations combine information, technological advancements, and new marketing and logistics procedures to optimize service quality, operational efficiency, revenues, and shared profit margins. Logistic innovations play a crucial role in various sectors, whether in services or products, to offer innovative solutions. The primary goal of any company remains to enhance

its operational performance while minimizing the environmental impact of its supply chain. However, this evolution of the supply chain brings numerous challenges and issues that may increase organizational vulnerability and create chaotic situations. Based on this hypothesis, our article presents a detailed analysis of the relationship between technological innovation and the supply chain. Through this analysis, we have identified the key elements of digitalization that facilitate supply chain optimization. Within this framework, we addressed the following problem: To what extent does digitalization as an innovative technology contribute to improving operational efficiency and reducing the environmental impact of the supply chain?

To address this, we begin by examining the theoretical approaches and the general framework of digital transformation and its interactions with the supply chain, the core focus of our research. We also define key concepts such as innovative technologies, digitalization, and the digital supply chain. Subsequently, we analyze how innovative technologies contribute to enhancing operational efficiency and reducing the environmental impact of the supply chain. We also highlight various digital technological innovations applied to supply chains and their sustainable effects. Finally, we discuss the potential negative impacts of these new technologies on supply chain development. Overall, this research aims to shed light on the impacts of integrating innovative technologies on meeting sustainability requirements within a supply chain. It also seeks to determine how digital transformation contributes to supply chain performance, considering economic, operational, and environmental dimensions.

2 Theoretical Approaches to Digitalization, Innovative Technologies, and the Digital Supply Chain

Technological advancements and personal devices, such as mobile devices, computers, autonomous vehicles, drones, smart TVs, connected objects, smartphones, and smartwatches, are reshaping the way businesses access and share information (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018). These emerging technologies impact all sectors, including supply chains and their associated services (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018). Innovations in logistics can act as levers to improve organizational processes, requiring effective supply chain management through seamless coordination among distributors (Lee et al., 2014).

Innovation is a key element in ensuring organizational sustainability (Drucker, 1985), and companies operating in knowledge-intensive sectors place great importance on innovation (Howells, Tether, & Uyarra, 2004). Chapman, Soosay, & Kandampully (2003) argue that the focus should be on supply chain innovation to ensure optimal service delivery, while Drucker

(1985) sees innovation as a central tool for entrepreneurs (Lee, Lee, & Schniederjans, 2011). Adopting an innovative approach involves rethinking relationships with suppliers, customers, and other partners (Lavastre, Ageron, Chaze-Magnan, & Alain, 2014). Meanwhile, a growing number of companies are innovating in their supply chain management (SCM) to enhance competitiveness and meet customer expectations (Lavastre, Ageron, Chaze-Magnan, & Alain, 2014). It is worth noting that Supply Chain Management has been relatively underexplored from this theoretical perspective, despite the implementation of innovative practices by many organizations, particularly in their internal and external collaboration strategies. These practices include kanban systems for suppliers, advanced warehouses, outsourced inventory, as well as approaches such as VMI (Vendor Managed Inventory, a strategy where the supplier is responsible for managing and replenishing the customer's inventory) and CPFR (Collaborative Planning, Forecasting, and Replenishment, a method aimed at coordinating forecasting and inventory management efforts between partners to optimize the supply chain).

2.1 Digitalization

Digitalization emerges as one of the major assets transforming organizations and businesses (Parviainen, Tihinen, Kääriäinen, & Teppola, 2017). The potential benefits of digitalization are substantial, with the possibility of reducing costs by up to 90% and significantly improving lead times by digitizing information-intensive processes. By replacing paper and manual operations with software, companies can automate data collection, enabling better insights into process performance, cost drivers, and risks (Start at the End State and Work Back, 2014, cited by Parviainen, Tihinen, Kääriäinen, & Teppola, 2017). Digitalization involves converting analog information into digital format, leveraging digital technologies and digitized data to generate and exploit value innovatively (Gobble, 2018).

According to Sabbagh, Morkūnas, & Galati (2024), digitalization contributes to gradual economic development, with countries most advanced in this field enjoying 20% greater economic benefits compared to those in the initial stages. The positive impacts of digitalization are also reflected in improved quality of life, reduced unemployment, and enhanced accessibility to public services for citizens. Additionally, digitalization facilitates more transparent and efficient government governance (Maximizing-the-Impact-of-Digitization, n.d.). It is important to note that digitalization has become widespread across all productive sectors, supported by robust evidence demonstrating its positive impact on business performance (Albiman & Sulong, 2017; Bouwman, Nikou, Molina-Castillo, & de Reuver, 2018; Bruno, Elaine, & Ney, 2018; Kumar et al., 2016; Skorupinska & Torrent-Sellens, 2017;

Venturini, 2015; Vu, 2011, cited by Fernández-Portillo, Almodóvar-González, Sánchez-Escobedo, & Coca-Pérez).

The term "digitalization" is polysemous, meaning it carries multiple meanings, and the literature highlights various definitions depending on the authors (see the example in Table 1).

Table 1: Definitions of Digitalization

Source	Definition
Brillet, et al., 2019	The term 'digital' refers to the use of technologies, focusing on practices and behaviors associated with their use.
Hadjadj, 2018	Digitalization represents a revolution of a magnitude similar to that of the invention of the printing press.
Bahuon & al., 2018	Digitalization leverages massive databases, the internet, and multichannel access, particularly mobile, enabling the emergence of new business models, organizations, and services.
Dorner & Edelman, 2015	Digital is not limited to a process but rather defines how companies manage and steer their activities.
Gimpel & Maximilian, 2015	Digitalization refers to the progressive expansion of digital technologies in companies, accompanied by the transformations driven by connecting individuals.

Source: Authors

2.2 Innovative Technologies

Innovative technologies refer to new technological advances currently under development or expected to emerge in the coming years. These technologies are likely to bring about significant changes in the commercial and social context of businesses, as noted in the Business Dictionary (no date).

Recent advancements in innovative technologies have significantly contributed to the transformation of organizations. These changes have had a substantial impact on procedures,

organizational management, and production systems (Cabin & Choc, 2005).

In the literature, it is highlighted that organizations have shifted from an economy centered on standardization and material production to an approach focused on customization, innovation, and tailor-made solutions. This profound transformation affects both companies and individuals. Consequently, researchers are faced with new challenges and analytical perspectives (Groleau & Mayère, 2007).

Innovation represents a daily challenge for most organizations, but its definition varies depending on the perspective. For our purposes, we adopt the definition by AFNOR, which defines innovation as a "process leading to the implementation of one or more new or improved products, services, processes, organizational forms, or business models that can meet explicit or implicit expectations and generate economic, environmental, or societal value for all stakeholders" (AFNOR, 2011).

Moreover, digitalization is defined as "the organizational change driven by the adoption of new digital technologies to enhance performance" (Wade, 2015). This involves adopting new management techniques aimed at creating value and improving how businesses manage their activities.

By comparing these two definitions, it is clear that they converge on two essential aspects. First, both innovation and digitalization drive major transformations in the organization and functioning of businesses, involving the entire value chain. Furthermore, these concepts are underpinned by a clear objective or vision, ultimately aiming to optimize business performance. It remains complex to determine whether digitalization supports innovation or vice versa (Gonzalez, 2018).

Fifteen years ago, Apple Computer was regarded as a major pioneer in the computing field, with an annual revenue of around $6 billion. Today, Apple is the world's leading company by value, having multiplied its revenue by 40. This success stems primarily from a digital strategy focused on content and its distribution, seeking to control the digital hub of its customers within its ecosystem.

Thus, digitalization serves as a lever for innovation, and reciprocally, innovation supports the digitalization process to more effectively meet business needs.

2.3 Supply Chain Transformation

Over the past decades, major changes have transformed organizational methods, techniques, and technologies used to manage business logistics and supply chains (Agafonova, 2020). Today, the supply chain comprises several specific stages, including product development,

marketing, production, and distribution, leading to the final customer. Digitalization eliminates these boundaries, transforming the chain into a fully transparent and integrated ecosystem for all stakeholders (Schrauf & Berttram, 2016).

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the critical role of logistics in strategic business management and supply chain management (SCM), while also exposing certain weaknesses. Simultaneously, this evolution of the supply chain presents various challenges and issues that can increase the vulnerability of businesses and potentially lead to disorganization (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018). Nevertheless, despite the challenges, this transformation offers new opportunities for businesses and has a significant impact on supply chain management.

2.4 Digital Supply Chain

A digital supply chain relies on web capabilities, surpassing the hybrid model of processes based on information technology (IT) and paper. To fully leverage system integration, connectivity, and the informational capabilities of "smart" components, an authentic digital supply chain goes beyond this model (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018).

The digitalization of the supply chain is attracting increasing attention both in practice and research. More and more companies appear to apply various digitalization characteristics, such as Big Data, the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, radio-frequency identification (RFID) (a technology that uses radio waves to identify and track objects), and artificial intelligence, to design integrated, self-optimizing supply chain systems that proactively adapt to ongoing market fluctuations (Seyedghorban, Tahernejad, Meriton, & Graham, 2020).

Digitalization profoundly transforms supply chain management methods, redefining how organizations design and execute these processes. Technological and digital innovations facilitate better interconnection of activities, ensuring smooth information exchange between companies, suppliers, and potential customers (Seyedghorban, Tahernejad, Meriton, & Graham, 2020).

According to a study by Kearney and the WHU-Otto Beisheim School of Management, the digitalization of the supply chain includes a set of technologies that optimize and synchronize logistics operations. These include inventory and transportation management systems, RFID, advanced solutions for order processing, as well as innovative tools for planning and scheduling. The goal of this approach is to provide a rapid response to challenges such as waste in the supply chain, particularly in an environment marked by fluctuating demand and heightened risks.

The digital supply chain is defined as an intelligent, customer-centric system integrated into the global network and based on data utilization. It leverages emerging technologies to make products and services more accessible and efficient (Bhargava, Ranchal, & Ben Othmane, 2013; Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018; Seyedghorban, Tahernejad, Meriton, & Graham, 2020).

The Digital Supply Chain (DSC) includes components such as hardware, software, and communication networks, facilitating interactions between companies on a global scale and coordinating logistics partners' activities, including storage, purchasing, manufacturing, selling, and transporting products (Bhargava, Ranchal, & Ben Othmane, 2013).

Digitalization brings significant benefits to the supply chain, such as improved information access, optimized intercompany exchanges, increased transparency through real-time data access, enhanced efficiency and operation maintenance, as well as strengthened integration and collaboration. It also fosters innovation in product design and enables more effective inventory management (Kache & Seuring, 2017).

Research Methodology

The objective of our research is to explore, through managerial literature, the impact of innovative technologies, particularly digitalization, on improving operational efficiency and reducing environmental impact within the supply chain. To achieve this, we conducted a literature review using specialized databases such as Scopus and ScienceDirect, as well as other relevant e-resources.

The keywords used include: "digitalization" and "supply chain," "innovative technologies" and "supply chain," as well as "digitalization," "supply chain," "operational efficiency," and "environmental impact." These terms allowed us to identify a wide range of publications addressing the integration of innovative technologies into supply chains and their effects on sustainability and operational performance.

After selecting and categorizing the documents, we performed a thematic synthesis of the results to highlight the effects of digitalization and innovative technologies on optimizing logistical operations and reducing the environmental footprint. This synthesis also aims to outline future perspectives regarding the integration of these technologies into the supply chain.

Contribution of Innovative Technologies to Improving Operational Efficiency and Reducing Environmental Impact in the Supply Chain

Effective supply chain management is a key component of organizational success in an increasingly competitive world, with growing concerns about environmental impact. In the face of challenges such as globalization, the increasing complexity of logistics networks, and

sustainability imperatives, companies are actively seeking ways to optimize their operations while reducing their ecological footprint.

In this context, innovative technologies play a central role. By combining technological advances with effective management practices, companies can not only improve their operational efficiency but also contribute to environmental preservation. This chapter explores how technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and other digital solutions are revolutionizing supply chain management, offering unique opportunities to optimize processes and reduce environmental impacts. From inventory management to last-mile logistics, product traceability, and waste reduction, these technologies open new perspectives for a more efficient and sustainable supply chain.

By examining the current challenges faced by traditional supply chains and exploring the opportunities offered by innovative technologies, this chapter highlights the critical importance of adopting technological solutions to shape the future of logistics in both an efficient and environmentally friendly way.

4.1 The Impact of Digitalization on Improving Operational Efficiency in the Supply Chain: An Economic Perspective

Operational efficiency refers to the ratio between inputs and outputs, specifically the cost of producing a product or providing a service compared to the revenue generated from the sale of those products or services. Higher operational efficiency leads to lower production costs for producing the same quantity of products. Digitalization has played a key role in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of production systems, as indicated by Kayikci (2018). The adoption of digital technologies within companies and their supply chains directly impacts their economic performance. For instance, the integration of technologies such as blockchain helps reduce intermediaries in the supply chain, thereby increasing product accessibility at lower costs while decreasing time and business losses (Saberi, Kouhizadeh, & Sarkis, 2019).

These technological innovations have also generated tangible benefits. On one hand, they enhance customer trust by providing detailed information on the origin and traceability of green and eco-friendly products. Furthermore, they ensure the security of data shared between various stakeholders in the supply chain, which increases customer trust and stimulates their purchasing behavior.

Moreover, improving the operational efficiency of the supply chain is essential to achieving organizational goals. Technologies such as robotics, big data, 3D printing, cloud computing, and mobile technology have a significant impact on the supply chain (Farahani et al., 2015,

cited by Sahara, Damar, Aamer, & Aamer, 2019). In a competitive environment where customer expectations evolve rapidly, having an efficient and cost-effective supply chain is crucial to optimizing processes (Fatorachian & Kazemi, 2018; Sahara, Damar, Aamer, & Aamer, 2019). According to Queiroz, Pereira, Telles, & Machado (2021), digitalization helps improve the accuracy of planning and logistics operations by providing greater visibility of the supply network throughout the entire value chain. In this sense, digital transformation offers managers the ability to better manage their supply chain. Supply chains can be viewed as a series of interconnected activities involving the planning, coordination, and control of products and services between suppliers and customers (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018).

4.2 The Impact of Digitalization on Reducing the Environmental Impact of the Supply Chain

Environmental awareness now affects all levels of society. Reducing the carbon footprint should become a priority for institutions, communities, and individuals alike. In this context, the ecological dimension plays an increasingly crucial role within companies. It has become essential to the proper functioning of logistics and the supply chain. Over the past few years, this requirement has been driven not only by an increasingly engaged consumer base but also by ever-stricter regulations. Furthermore, companies that commit to a more sustainable environment now enjoy a clear competitive advantage.

These major developments help organizations create environmentally friendly products and production processes. The integration of Industry 4.0 has played a crucial role in optimizing energy consumption and reducing losses and waste, as highlighted by Luthra & Mangla (2018). Some researchers have confirmed that the choice of technologies such as digital sensors, robotics, and RFID tags not only facilitates the creation of sustainable products but also increases production while ensuring greater food safety (Luthra & Mangla, 2018; Bhat & Jõudu, 2019).

Digitalization plays a positive role in environmental preservation, as indicated by Ait-Daoud (2012). Technological systems such as RFID and blockchain ensure real-time traceability of agri-food products while complying with HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point) standards. Responsible information technologies contribute to the dematerialization and digitalization of work processes, offering new services such as e-payment and e-administration. These innovative services help reduce paper consumption, facilitate exchanges between organizations, and decrease travel and transportation that generate CO2 emissions (Ait-Daoud, 2012).

4.3 Technological Innovations in the Supply Chain: Towards Sustainable Impacts

Digitalization and sustainability are now major issues for the supply chain, aiming to promote a culture increasingly focused on sustainable development. The technological revolution thus allows for optimizing productivity and performance in the supply chain while integrating the principles of sustainable development.

An analysis of the literature review, composed of recent articles, reveals that the impact of digital technologies on the sustainable performance of a supply chain remains a topic that is still underexplored. As a result, several obstacles persist in the use of sustainable performance measurement indicators. Table 2 presents a summary of the main positive impacts of digital technologies in the context of a sustainable supply chain.

Table 2: The Sustainable Contribution of Digital Technologies

Digitalization Technologies	**Description**	**Impact on Operational Efficiency: Economic Aspect**	**Impact on the Environment: Environmental Aspect**	**Authors**
Blockchain	This technology is used to store and transmit information, characterized by its transparency and the security it ensures for data and transactions.	- Disintermediation of the supply chain. - Ensure the security and legitimacy of information and data. - Guarantee the reliability, credibility, and transparency of the company.	- Track the environmental impact of products and trace their carbon footprint throughout the supply chain. - Minimize carbon emissions in product delivery by establishing the foundation for supply chain mapping.	(Saberi, Kouhizadeh, & Sarkis, 2019). (Rogetzer, Nowak, Jammernegg, & Wakolbinger, 2019). (Bhat & Jõudu, 2019). (Swan, 2015). (Chapron, 2017). (Korpela,

				Hallikas, & Dahlberg, 2017).
Sensor Technology and Internet of Things	Sensor technology enables the connectivity of objects and products, provided they are equipped with sensors and linked to software or a GPS system. This technology ensures improved interconnection between different links in the supply chain.	- Optimize product monitoring and ensure better control within the cold chain. - Ensure complete traceability of the product throughout the supply chain.	- Detect batches with anomalies in case of contamination in the food sector. - Minimize resource and energy wastage.	(Bhat & Jõudu, 2019). (Rogetzer, Nowak, Jammernegg, & Wakolbinger, 2019). (Bechtsis, Tsolakis, Vlachos, & Iakovou, 2017). (Davidsson, Hajinasab, Holmgren, Jevinger, & Persson, 2016).
Cobotics	This is a new form of robotics that integrates collaboration and interaction in the relationship between robots and humans.	- Optimize resource use and respond efficiently to energy needs. - Reuse components of obsolete products in the manufacturing	- Minimize carbon emissions in product delivery by establishing the foundation for supply chain mapping. - Minimize storage costs by	(Luthra & Mangla, 2018). (Dossou, 2018). (De Man & Strandhagen, 2017). (Mercier-Laurant,

		chain.	implementing a just-in-time management system. - Increase production while minimizing emissions to the lowest possible level.	2020). (Pale, 2020). (Houmady, Lemercier, & Boisard, 2015).
Big Data and Cloud	Refers to large volumes of data stored and processed via cloud computing. In supply chain management (SCM), the use of big data and the cloud has enabled effective exploitation of shared data and strengthened collaboration among various supply chain participants.	- Shift from a just-in-time system to a push-based model, helping minimize waste while enhancing the operational responsiveness of the company.	- Reduce waste by predicting the lifespan of parts and components in the product.	(Rogetzer, Nowak, Jammernegg, & Wakolbinger, 2019). (Li et al., 2015). (Ngai, Peng, Alexander, & Moon, 2014).

3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing	In the context of additive manufacturing, the process involves assembling 3D parts under computer supervision, adding them progressively in the form of successive layers.	- Improve production lead time efficiency and accelerate product delivery to customers.	- Minimize material use in product design. - Reduce the negative environmental impact. - Reduce energy wastage.	(Rogetzer, Nowak, Jammernegg, & Wakolbinger, 2019). (Kellens, Mertens, Paraskevas, & Dewulf, 2017). (Weller, Kleer, & Piller, 2015). (Ben-Ner & Siemsen, 2017)

Source: Authors

4.4 The Negative Effects of New Digital Technologies on Supply Chain Development

Despite the positive impacts of this new generation of digital innovations on promoting sustainable development, it is not without some negative aspects.

➢ Social Impacts

On one hand, constant engagement in work through digital tools increases disruptions and pressures, leading to information overload for employees, which in turn gives rise to "technostress" (Valenduc, 2017).

Furthermore, digitalization contributes to the worsening of wage inequalities, as Industry 4.0 demands a highly skilled workforce capable of adapting to the use of these digital technologies, to the detriment of less skilled and lower-paid workers (Degryse, 2016). In this context, automation has gradually replaced tasks once performed by human workers, leading to increased unemployment and impacts on social relationships in the workplace.

➢ Environmental Impacts

Although digitalization and ecology share some common goals, such as energy efficiency and sustainability, they are at odds on several important points. In fact, some authors (Pochet, 2017) accuse Industry 4.0 of being responsible for climate change, due to its environmental side effects that pose a significant risk to the planet.

On the one hand, while digital technologies offer considerable advantages in terms of productivity and resource management, they require significant energy consumption and continuous exploitation of natural resources. The production of electronic devices, the operation of data centers and servers, as well as telecommunications infrastructure, result in massive energy consumption. This constant energy demand, often sourced from non-renewable sources, contributes to global warming. Furthermore, the manufacturing and management of electronic waste generates pollutants that are not always effectively treated, despite initiatives aimed at promoting green energy and more environmentally friendly technologies.

On the other hand, the expansion of Industry 4.0 puts increased pressure on natural ecosystems. The growing demand for raw materials for electronic component manufacturing, such as rare earth metals, leads to the intensive exploitation of natural resources, often accompanied by deforestation. These activities severely disrupt ecological balances, degrading habitats and threatening biodiversity. Additionally, these imbalances have direct consequences on human health, particularly through air and water pollution, as well as the negative effects of more intensive farming or industrial systems, as noted by Oláh et al. (2020).

Thus, while Industry 4.0 clearly offers advantages in terms of productivity and digital transformation, its environmental impact must be seriously considered to avoid it becoming a major source of ecological degradation and public health risks.

Conclusion

Since the advent of Industry 4.0, supply chain actors have faced numerous challenges in maintaining competitiveness. One of the most significant of these is reconciling the growing demands of digitalization with the imperative of sustainable development. Digitalization thus represents a critical opportunity to ensure the sustainability of supply chain management, but it also requires careful implementation and consideration of various factors.

Companies that have already initiated the digital transformation of their supply chains have seen substantial progress, including improvements in their processes. However, this transition involves several constraints, such as the need for significant investment in technology, staff training, and adaptation to new systems. Therefore, it is essential for organizations to develop a clear and well-structured roadmap before embarking on this transformation journey. This roadmap should prioritize key goals such as minimizing disruption to operations, ensuring cybersecurity, and addressing any environmental concerns related to the new technologies.

Specific recommendations for industries looking to integrate these technologies include:

1. Energy-efficient Technologies: Companies should prioritize the use of energy-efficient technologies, including renewable energy sources for their digital infrastructures, such as data centers. This will help mitigate the environmental impact while still reaping the benefits of digitalization.
2. E-waste Management: Organizations must implement robust electronic waste management systems. This includes proper recycling procedures and ensuring the longevity of digital equipment to reduce the amount of waste generated. They should also consider adopting circular economy practices, where devices are refurbished, reused, or recycled.
3. Green Logistics Solutions: Firms should focus on integrating green logistics solutions within their supply chain operations. This involves using digital tools to optimize transportation routes, reduce fuel consumption, and streamline inventory management, which ultimately reduces the carbon footprint of logistics activities.
4. Collaboration with Partners: Industry stakeholders should collaborate more closely with technology providers, environmental organizations, and other key partners to align their digital transformation strategies with sustainable development goals. This includes establishing joint sustainability targets and sharing best practices for reducing environmental impact.
5. Data-Driven Sustainability: Leveraging data analytics to monitor environmental

performance across the supply chain can help companies track their progress toward sustainability goals. Predictive analytics, for example, can be used to reduce waste, optimize resource usage, and improve energy efficiency in production processes.

6. Employee Training and Change Management: Ensuring that employees are properly trained and prepared for the new systems is critical. A workforce that understands both the technical aspects of digitalization and the company's sustainability goals will be better equipped to contribute to the success of the transformation.

In summary, while the digitalization of the supply chain holds enormous potential for improving operational efficiency and reducing environmental impacts, it must be carefully planned and executed. By integrating sustainable practices at every stage of the digital transformation, companies can not only enhance their competitiveness but also ensure that their operations contribute to a more sustainable and resilient global supply chain.

Acknowledgements

"This work was carried out with the support of the CNRST under the 'PHD-Associate ScholarShip-PASS' program, for which we express our sincere gratitude."

References

AFNOR. (2011). Management de l'innovation. *a Plaine-Saint-Denis: Afnor éd*.

Agafonova, A. (2020). Digital Transformation Of Logistics And Scm. 522–529.

Ait-Daoud, S. (2012). Le management responsable des technologies de l'information (MRTI): entre approches ethique et institutionnelle. *(Doctoral dissertation, Montpellier 2)*.

Albiman, M., & Sulong, Z. (2017). The linear and non-linear impacts of ICT on economic growth, of disaggregate income groups within SSA region. *Telecommunications Policy, 41(7-8)*, 555-572.

Bahuon, A., & al. (2018). Le financier, le juriste et le geek. *Maxima*.

Bechtsis, D., Tsolakis, N., Vlachos, D., & Iakovou, E. (2017). Sustainable supply chain management in the digitalization era: The impact of Industry 4.0. *In IFAC PapersOnLine, 50(1)*, 432-437.

Ben-Ner, A., & Siemsen,, E. (2017). Decentralization and localization of production: The organizational and economic consequences of additive manufacturing (3D printing). *California Management Review, 59(2)*, 5-23.

Bhargava, B., Ranchal, R., & Ben Othmane, L. (2013). Secure information sharing in digital supply chains. *Proceedings of the 2013 3rd IEEE International Advance Computing Conference, IACC*, 1636–1640.

Bhat, R., & Jõudu, I. (2019). Emerging issues and challenges in agri-food supply chain. . *Sustainable food supply chains*, 23-37.

Bouwman, H., Nikou, S., Molina-Castillo, F., & de Reuver, M. (2018). The impact of digitalization on business models. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, 20(2)*, 105-124.

Brillet, F., Vincent, M., Tissioui, M., KEULEYAN, R., GUYONNET, C., & BERTHIER, J. (2019). Digital Ressources Humaines. *Editions MPE*.

Büyüközkan , & Göçer. (2018). Digital supply chain: literature review and a proposed framework for future research. *Computers in Industry , Vol 97*, 157-177.

Cabin, P., & Choc, B. (2005). Les organisations : états des savoirs. *Auxerre, Editions sciences humaines*.

Chapman, R., Soosay, C., & Kandampully, J. (2003). Innovation in logistic services and the new business model: a conceptual framework. *International journal of physical distribution & logistics management, 33(7)*, 630-650.

Chapron, G. (2017). The environment needs cryptogovernance. *Nature News, 545(7655)*, 403-405.

Davidsson, P., Hajinasab, B., Holmgren, J., Jevinger, Å., & Persson, , J. (2016). The fourth wave of digitalization and public transport: Opportunities and challenges. *Sustainability, 8(12), 1248*.

De Man, J., & Strandhagen, J. (2017). An Industry 4.0 research agenda for sustainable business models. *Procedia CIRP, 63*, 721-726.

Degryse, C. (2016). Impacts sociaux de la digitalisation de l'économie. *Etui*.

DHIBA, Y., & RHAZZI, A. (2022). La transformation digitale : Une approche innovante pour l'optimisation de la chaîne logistique. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics, 3(6-1), 421-436*.

Dorner, K., & Edelman, D. (2015). What does digital really mean?

Dossou, P. E. (2018). Impact of sustainability on the supply chain 4.0 performance. *Procedia Manufacturing, 17*, 452-459.

Drucker, P. (1985). Innovation and Entrepreneurship: Practices and Principles. *Harper & Row, New York*.

Fatorachian, H., & Kazemi, H. (2018). A critical investigation of Industry 4.0 in manufacturing: theoretical operationalisation framework. *Production Planning & Control, 29(8)*, 633-644.

Fernández-Portillo, A., Almodóvar-González, M., Sánchez-Escobedo, M., & Coca-Pérez, J. (s.d.). The role of innovation in the relationship between digitalisation and economic and financial performance. A company-level research. *European Research on Management and Business Economics, 28(3), 100190*.

Gimpel, H., & Maximilian, R. (2015). Digital transformation: changes and chances–insights based on an empirical study.

Gobble, M. (2018). Digitization, and Innovation. *Research Technology Management, 61(4), 56–59*.

Gonzalez, E. (2018). *Digitalisation...Plutôt une question d'innovation stratégique!* magazine Monde Economique.

Groleau, C., & Mayère, A. (2007). L'articulation technologies –organisations : despistes pour une approche communicationnelle. *Communication & Organisation, (31)*, 40-163.

Hadjadj, R. (2018). Leader 3.0-Préserver l'équilibre entre les relations humaines et le monde digital. *AFNOR*.

Houmady, M., Lemercier, P., & Boisard , A. (2015). Evolutions de la logistique à l'horizon 2025: enjeux et impacts du Big data, de l'Intelligence Artificielle et de la Robotisation.

Howells, J., Tether, B., & Uyarra, E. (2004). Innovation in Service: issue at stake and trends

Study for DG Enterprise, Commission of the European Communities. *IMP Innovation, Strategy and SustainabilityInnovation Management and Policy*.

Kache, F., & Seuring, S. (2017). Challenges and opportunities of digital information at the intersection of Big Data Analytics and supply chain management. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management 37(1)*, 10–36.

Kayikci, Y. (2018). Sustainability impact of digitization in logistics. *Procedia manufacturing, 21*, 782-789.

Kellens, K., Mertens, R., Paraskevas, D., & Dewulf, J. (2017). Environmental Impact of Additive Manufacturing Processes: Does AM contribute to a more sustainable way of part manufacturing? *Procedia CIRP, 61*, 582-587.

Korpela, K., Hallikas, J., & Dahlberg, T. (2017). Digital supply chain transformation toward blockchain integration. *In Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.

Lavastre, O., Ageron, B., Chaze-magnan, L., & Alain, S. (2014). Innovative Supply Chain Practices (ISCP) in Supply Chain Management : Development and Validation of a Measurement Scale. *Management, 17(4), 263–298*.

Lee, V. H., Ooi, K. B., Chong, A. Y. L., & Seow, C. . (2014). Creating technological innovation via green supply chain management: An empirical analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications, 41(16)*.

Lee, S., Lee, D., & Schniederjans, M. (2011). Supply chain innovation and organizational performance in the healthcare industry. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 3(11), 1193, 11214*.

Luthra, S., & Mangla, S. (2018). Evaluating challenges to Industry 4.0 initiatives for supply chain sustainability in emerging economies. *Process safety and environmental protection, 117*, 168-179.

Mercier-Laurant, E. (2020). Intelligence artificielle 4.0 pour l'Industrie 4.0. *Bulletin de la Société Informatique de France*, 127-137.

Ngai, E., Peng, S., Alexander, P., & Moon, K. (2014). Decision support and intelligent systems in the textile and apparel supply chain: An academic review of research articles. *Expert Systems with Applications, 41(1)*, 81-91.

Oláh, J., Aburumman, N., Popp, J., Khan, M., Haddad, H., & Kitukutha, N. (2020). Impact of Industry 4.0 on environmental sustainability. *Sustainability, 12(11), 4674*.

Pale, T. (2020). La robotique collaborative: Promouvoir un outil de développement en jugulant

la faiblesse des infrastructures physiques en Afrique. *Communication Technologies et Développement*.

Parviainen, P., Tihinen, M., Kääriäinen, J., & Teppola, S. (2017). Tackling the digitalization challenge: How to benefit from digitalization in practice. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management, 5(1), 63–77*.

Pochet, P. (2017). Numérique et écologie: comment concilier ces deux récits de l'avenir? *L'Economie politique, (1),* 101-112.

Queiroz, M., Pereira, S., Telles, R., & Machado, M. (2021). Industry 4.0 and digital supply chain capabilities: A framework for understanding digitalisation challenges and opportunities. *Benchmarking: an international journal, 28(5),* 1761-1782.

Rogetzer, P., Nowak, T., Jammernegg, W., & Wakolbinger, T. (2019). Impact of digitalization on sustainable supply chains. *In Chancen und Grenzen der Nachhaltigkeitstransformation. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden.*, 131-144.

Sabbagh, p., Morkūnas, M., & Galati, A. (2024). Applications and impacts of blockchain-based solutions in the wine supply chain: a systematic literature review. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*.

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., & Sarkis, J. (2019). Blockchains and the supply chain: Findings from a broad study of practitioners. *IEEE Engineering Management Review, 47(3),* 95-103.

Sahara, C., Damar, J., Aamer, A., & Aamer, A. (2019). Exploring the key factor categories for the digital supply chain. *9th International Conference on Operations and Supply Chain Management, Vietnam, December*, 1–11.

Schrauf, S., & Berttram, P. (2016). How digitization makes the supply chain more efficient, agile, and. *Strategy ans PWC,* 1-32.

Seyedghorban, Z., Tahernejad, H., Meriton, R., & Graham, G. (2020). Supply chain digitalization: past, present and future. *Production Planning and Control, 31*, 2-3.

Skorupinska, A., & Torrent-Sellens, J. (2017). ICT, innovation and productivity: evidence based on eastern European manufacturing companies. . *Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 8,* 768-788.

Swan, M. (2015). Blockchain: Blueprint for a new economy. *O'Reilly Media, Inc.*

Valenduc, G. (2017). Au doigt et à l'œil. Les conditions de travail dans des environnements digitalisés. *Hesamag, ETUI, 2, 12-16,* 12-16.

Wade, M. (2015). Digital Transformation: A Conceptual Framework. *Global Center for DigitalBusinessTransformation*.

Weller, C., Kleer, R., & Piller, F. (2015). Economic implications of 3D printing: Market structure models in light of additive manufacturing revisited. *International Journal of Production Economics, 164*, 43-56.